26

27

1 FILED
DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM 2 MAY 24 2002 3 MARY L. M. MORAN CLERK OF COURT 4 5 DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM 6 TERRITORY OF GUAM 7 8 9 ALICE N. SALAS, Civil Case No. 02-00002 10 Plaintiff. 11 VS. **ORDER** 12 JAMES JI ENTERPRISE, JJ PACIFIC DEVELOPMENT 13 CORPORATION, JAMES JI, and 14 HUANG LEE. 15 Defendants. 16 17 On February 6, 2002, the plaintiff filed the Complaint in the above-captioned case. On 18 February 8, 2002, the plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint. On March 6, 2002, an individual by the name of Hyung Ho Lee filed a pleading captioned "Answer to amended complaint for 19 unlawful termination under 28 USC 1875" ("Answer").1 This Answer was allegedly filed on 20 21 behalf of all defendants and was signed by Hyung Ho Lee proceeding "Prose" [sic]. 22 Additionally, on May 16, 2002, Hyung Ho Lee filed a pleading in response to an Order 23 directing defendants to show cause why they should not be sanctioned for failing to appear at 24 the May 7, 2002 Scheduling Conference. 25 The Court notes that Hyung Ho Lee is not a named defendant on the Amended

¹ This Answer did not admit to or deny any of the allegations in the Amended Complaint. Rather, the Answer requested a thirty (30) extension to seek legal counsel so that an answer to the Amended Complaint could be filed. Accordingly, the Court characterized the pleading as a motion for an extension of time, which it granted on March 8, 2002.

Complaint, yet he continues to file pleadings on behalf of the defendants. The Court proposes that perhaps Hyung Ho Lee is the named defendant Huang Lee. In order to clarify this matter, the parties are ordered to meet and resolve this issue. The parties shall file a status report of their meeting to the Court no later than June 6, 2002. If indeed Hyung Ho Lee is the named defendant Huang Lee, the plaintiff shall request to correct the Amended Complaint to reflect the corrected spelling of defendant's name.

SO ORDERED this ______ day of May, 2002.

JOHN S. UNPINGCO District Judge